

# VLT Task 1

	value: 0.00	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	Score/Level
Articulation of Response (clarity, organization, mechanics)	The candidate provides unsatisfactory articulation of response.	The candidate provides weak articulation of response.	The candidate provides adequate articulation of response.	
A1. Server	The candidate does not appropriately outline the top 5 threats to the server in the given scenario.	The candidate appropriately outlines, with insufficient detail, the top 5 threats to the server in the given scenario.	The candidate appropriately outlines, with sufficient detail, the top 5 threats to the server in the given scenario.	
A2. Workstations	The candidate does not appropriately outline the top 5 threats to the workstations in the given scenario.	The candidate appropriately outlines, with insufficient detail, the top 5 threats to the workstations in the given scenario.	The candidate appropriately outlines, with sufficient detail, the top 5 threats to the workstations in the given scenario.	
A3. Website	The candidate does not appropriately outline the top 5 threats to the website in the given scenario.	The candidate appropriately outlines, with insufficient detail, the top 5 threats to the website in the given scenario.	The candidate appropriately outlines, with sufficient detail, the top 5 threats to the website in the given scenario.	
B1. Likelihood of Threats	The candidate does not provide a logical evaluation of the likelihood of the threats discussed in part A.	The candidate provides a logical evaluation, with insufficient support, of the likelihood of the threats discussed in part A.	The candidate provides a logical evaluation, with sufficient support, of the likelihood of the threats discussed in part A.	
B2. Security Controls	The candidate does not provide appropriate recommendations of security controls and countermeasures that should be instituted to mitigate the threats.	The candidate provides appropriate recommendations, with insufficient support, of security controls and countermeasures that should be instituted to mitigate the threats.	The candidate provides appropriate recommendations, with sufficient support, of security controls and countermeasures that should be instituted to mitigate the threats.	
C. Sources	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate does not provide in-text citations and references for each source used.	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides appropriate in-text citations and references with major deviations from APA style.	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides appropriate in-text citations and references accurately or with only minor deviations from APA style, OR the candidate does not use sources.	

## VLTask 2

	value: 0.00	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	Score/Level
Articulation of Response (clarity, organization, mechanics)	The candidate provides unsatisfactory articulation of response.	The candidate provides weak articulation of response.	The candidate provides adequate articulation of response.	
A1. Business Objectives	The candidate does not provide an outline of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes information about the business objectives for the organization.	The candidate provides an outline, with insufficient detail, of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes information about the business objectives for the organization.	The candidate provides an outline, with sufficient detail, of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes information about the business objectives for the organization.	
A2. Guiding Security Principles	The candidate does not provide an outline of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a description of the guiding security principles of the organization.	The candidate provides an outline, with insufficient detail, of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a description of the guiding security principles of the organization.	The candidate provides an outline, with sufficient detail, of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a description of the guiding security principles of the organization.	
A3. Processes	The candidate does not provide an outline of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a justification of the processes that should be included in the scope.	The candidate provides an outline, with insufficient support, of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a justification of the processes that should be included in the scope.	The candidate provides an outline, with sufficient support, of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a justification of the processes that should be included in the scope.	
A4. Information Systems	The candidate does not provide an outline of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a justification of the information systems that should be included in the scope.	The candidate provides an outline, with insufficient support, of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a justification of the information systems that should be included in the scope.	The candidate provides an outline, with sufficient support, of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a justification of the information systems that should be included in the scope.	
A5. IT Infrastructure	The candidate does not provide an outline of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a description of the IT infrastructure that includes a description of information flow.	The candidate provides an outline, with insufficient detail, of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a description of the IT infrastructure that includes a description of information flow.	The candidate provides an outline, with sufficient detail, of the scope for the ISMS plan being developed in the case study that includes a description of the IT infrastructure that includes a description of information flow.	
B. Additional Steps	The candidate does not provide an appropriate recommendation of additional steps that the organization would need to take to implement the ISMS plan.	The candidate provides an appropriate recommendation, with insufficient detail, of additional steps that the organization would need to take to implement the ISMS plan.	The candidate provides an appropriate recommendation, with sufficient detail, of additional steps that the organization would need to take to implement the ISMS plan.	
B1. Discussion	The candidate does not provide a logical discussion of what <i>each</i> recommended step entails.	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with insufficient detail, of what <i>each</i> recommended step entails.	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with sufficient detail, of what <i>each</i> recommended step entails.	

	value: 0.00	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	Score/Level
B2. Justification	The candidate does not provide a logical justification of <i>each</i> recommended step.	The candidate provides a logical justification, with insufficient support, of <i>each</i> recommended step.	The candidate provides a logical justification, with sufficient support, of <i>each</i> recommended step.	
C. Sources	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate does not provide in-text citations and references for each source used.	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides appropriate in-text citations and references with major deviations from APA style.	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides appropriate in-text citations and references accurately or with only minor deviations from APA style, OR the candidate does not use sources.	

## VLT Task 3

	value: 0.00	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	Score/Level
Articulation of Response (clarity, organization, mechanics)	The candidate provides unsatisfactory articulation of response.	The candidate provides weak articulation of response.	The candidate provides adequate articulation of response.	
A. Question Set	The candidate does not provide a completed "As-Is Question Set."	Not applicable.	The candidate provides a completed "As-Is Question Set."	
B. Question Categories	The candidate does not provide any additional question categories for the "As-Is Question Set."	The candidate provides 1 additional question category for the "As-Is Question Set."	The candidate provides 2 additional question categories for the "As-Is Question Set."	
B1. Justification	The candidate does not provide a logical justification for the inclusion of <i>each</i> additional question.	The candidate provides a logical justification, with insufficient support, for the inclusion of <i>each</i> additional question.	The candidate provides a logical justification, with sufficient support, for the inclusion of <i>each</i> additional question.	
C. Sources	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate does not provide in-text citations and references for each source used.	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides appropriate in-text citations and references with major deviations from APA style.	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides appropriate in-text citations and references accurately or with only minor deviations from APA style, OR the candidate does not use sources.	

# VLT Task 4

	value: 0.00	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level
Articulation of Response (clarity, organization, mechanics)	The candidate provides unsatisfactory articulation of response.	The candidate provides weak articulation of response.	The candidate provides limited articulation of response.	The candidate provides adequate articulation of response.	The candidate provides substantial articulation of response.	
A. RMF To-Do List	The candidate does not provide a logical discussion of key elements that need to be addressed as part of the risk management framework by completing the attached "RMF To-Do List."	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with no detail, of key elements that need to be addressed as part of the risk management framework by completing the attached "RMF To-Do List."	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with limited detail, of key elements that need to be addressed as part of the risk management framework by completing the attached "RMF To-Do List."	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with adequate detail, of key elements that need to be addressed as part of the risk management framework by completing the attached "RMF To-Do List."	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with substantial detail, of key elements that need to be addressed as part of the risk management framework by completing the attached "RMF To-Do List."	
B1. Common Uses	The candidate does not provide a logical discussion of how <i>each</i> framework is most commonly used.	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with no support, of how <i>each</i> framework is most commonly used.	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with limited support, of how <i>each</i> framework is most commonly used.	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with adequate support, of how <i>each</i> framework is most commonly used.	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with substantial support, of how <i>each</i> framework is most commonly used.	
B2. Framework Purpose	The candidate does not provide a plausible analysis of the purpose of <i>each</i> framework design.	The candidate provides a plausible analysis, with no support, of the purpose of <i>each</i> framework design.	The candidate provides a plausible analysis, with limited support, of the purpose of <i>each</i> framework design.	The candidate provides a plausible analysis, with adequate support, of the purpose of <i>each</i> framework design.	The candidate provides a plausible analysis, with substantial support, of the purpose of <i>each</i> framework design.	
B3. Strengths	The candidate does not provide a logical evaluation of the strengths of <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical evaluation, with no support, of the strengths of <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical evaluation, with limited support, of the strengths of <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical evaluation, with adequate support, of the strengths of <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical evaluation, with substantial support, of the strengths of <i>each</i> framework.	
B4. Weaknesses	The candidate does not provide a logical evaluation of the weaknesses of <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical evaluation, with no support, of the weaknesses of <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical evaluation, with limited support, of the weaknesses of <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical evaluation, with adequate support, of the weaknesses of <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical evaluation, with substantial support, of the weaknesses of <i>each</i> framework.	
B5. Certification and Accreditation	The candidate does not provide a logical discussion of the certification and accreditation process for	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with no detail, of the certification and accreditation process	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with limited detail, of the certification and	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with adequate detail, of the certification and	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with substantial detail, of the certification and	

	value: 0.00	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level
	the frameworks.	for the frameworks.	accreditation process for the frameworks.	accreditation process for the frameworks.	accreditation process for the frameworks.	
B6. Choice of Frameworks	The candidate does not provide a logical discussion of when to use <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with no support, of when to use <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with limited support, of when to use <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with adequate support, of when to use <i>each</i> framework.	The candidate provides a logical discussion, with substantial support, of when to use <i>each</i> framework.	
C. Sources	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate does not provide in-text citations and references.	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides only some in-text citations and references.	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides appropriate in-text citations and references with major deviations from APA style.	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides appropriate in-text citations and references with minor deviations from APA style.	When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides appropriate in-text citations and references with no readily detectable deviations from APA style, OR the candidate does not use sources.	