

## Online Discussion and Participation Rubric

Criteria	Unacceptable	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent	Superior
	0%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
<i>Responsiveness to elements of the assignment and related questions. 20%</i>	Incomplete, inappropriate or plagiarized work.	60% or less of the assignment and discussion prompt components addressed in one or more postings (initial and one or more subsequent/peer responses).	Up to 70% of the assignment and discussion prompt components addressed in one or more postings (initial and one or more subsequent/peer responses).	Up to 80% of the assignment and discussion prompt components addressed in one or more postings (initial and one or more subsequent/peer responses).	Up to 90% components of the assignment and discussion prompts addressed in the initial posting or in <i>multiple</i> postings (any elements missed in the initial posting are added after the due date or addressed through peer responses).	All components of the assignment and discussion prompts addressed in <i>initial</i> posting and completed exceptionally well.
<i>Application of assigned reading(s) and video(s) 20%</i>	Postings misuse or misrepresent assigned readings or videos, fabricate evidence, or are plagiarized.	Postings reflect no evidence of assigned readings or videos, but makes some relevant points about the topic.	Very <i>unclear</i> that readings or videos were understood by incorporation into postings.	Somewhat <i>unclear</i> that readings and videos were understood by incorporation into postings.	Somewhat clear that readings and videos were understood by incorporation into postings.	Very clear that readings and videos were understood by incorporation into postings.
<i>Application of APA style guidelines when assigned. 20%</i>	Postings reflect no evidence of attempts to cite sources or assigned readings, fabricate evidence, or are plagiarized.	The postings include attempts at documentation, but demonstrate no understanding of how to cite and reference in APA style ( <i>or other professional style guidelines when assigned</i> ).	Sources are not clearly cited in APA style ( <i>or other professional style guidelines when assigned</i> ) or listed after posting.	Sources are generally cited correctly in APA style ( <i>or other professional style guidelines when assigned</i> ) and listed after posting.	Sources are cited correctly in APA style <i>when assigned</i> and references are listed after posting.	Sources are cited correctly in APA style <i>when assigned</i> and references are listed after posting.
<i>Quality of group discussion contributions 25%</i>	Incomplete, inappropriate, or plagiarized work.	No evidence that any other postings have been read or unwitting repetition of questions or points made by others. Comments are overly general and do nothing to add to the discussion.	One or more points from one or more participants only <i>vaguely</i> built upon/refuted in postings.	At least one point from one other participant clearly built upon/refuted in postings OR one or more points from one or more participants are vaguely build upon/refuted in postings.	At least one point from <i>multiple</i> participants clearly built upon/refuted in postings.	Multiple points from multiple participants clearly built upon/refuted in postings. Adds information and enhances discussion.
<i>Quantity of contributions 15%</i>	All elements of week were incomplete or any element of the work was inappropriate or plagiarized.	Replies only (regardless of number).	Original posting only.	Less than the minimum number of postings (original post and replies.)	Met the minimum number of postings (original post and replies).	Exceeded the minimum number of postings (original post and replies).