

Research Analysis (30)	(25-30) Accurately synthesized the sources consulted. Related back to thesis. Thesis logically stems from conclusions in research section.	(21-24) Relies on research without interpretation.  Takes all research at face value.	(0-20) Clearly not connected to thesis. Massively flawed logic.  No depth in analysis.
Your Solution (40)	(36-40) Solution is plausible and expertly presented based on political and governmental realities. Fully explains the feasibility in all arenas. Solution is consistent with thesis and backed by available research.	(31-35) Solution modestly reflects the research. Solution is inconsistent with thesis. Solution is fairly implausible.	(0-30) Solution is poorly presented. Thesis is contradictory to solution or completely unrelated. Solution is practically infeasible or impossible.
Conclusion (10)	(9-10) Presents a string restatement of thesis in a final pitch of the solution.	(7-8) Closing statement does not match thesis.	(0-6) Conclusion contradicts thesis.
Bibliography (10)	(9-10) Proper Bibliography	(7-8) Disorganized and/or inconsistent.	(0-6) No bibliography
Mechanics and general writing (25)	(21-25) Paper revolves around the thesis. All instructions perfectly followed. Paper is well proofread and flows well. Sources cited appropriately.	(16-20) Paper flows poorly, seems rushed. Several proofreading mistakes. Does not cite sources.	(0-15) Jarred and fragmented. Written the night before on a Dutch Brothers fueled caffeine high. No citations.
Deductions	(-20 each) Improper use of their/they're/there or your/you're	(-20) Thesis not underlined	(-20) Any use of 1 <sup>st</sup> person
200 Points Total			

Citations: You may use the citation form that you are most familiar with (MLA, Chicago style, APA) as long as you remain consistent.

EBSCO Database  
Purdue Owl

Currency - check update date

Relevancy - is it relevant?

Authority - who is this author? (.ed, .gov, .org)

Accuracy - "fake news?" Grammar

Purpose - What's the purpose?

C.R.A.A.P